

Full Risk Management Policy

RATIONALE

All organisations face risks associated with the occurrence, or non-occurrence, of events which are beyond the organisation's control and which could cause loss or damage to property, personnel, reputation and/or the ability to deliver services and achieve strategic objectives.

Risk management is the process by which these risks are identified and managed.

This policy sets out the key principles underpinning the school's approach to: risk management; documents roles and responsibilities; outlines key aspects of the risk management process; and identifies reporting procedures.

KEY PRINCIPLES

TVI considers that effective risk management lies in good organisational practice, supported by effective management and good governance.

Key risk indicators will be identified and evaluated on a regular basis, giving consideration to both the probability of occurrence and the potential impact on the school, and effective controls will be put in place to ensure that these are managed to an acceptable level. It is acknowledged that some risks will always exist and will never be eliminated.

TVI will make conservative and prudent recognition and disclosure of both financial and nonfinancial implications of identified risks.

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Governors

The Board of Directors (BoD) is responsible for risk management and for maintaining a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of policies, aims and objectives for which it is responsible. The Governing Body is advised in this role by the Finance, Premises & Personnel Committee (FFPC) and the Local Advisory Board (LAB) and both are advised and informed by the Senior Management Team (SMT).

In order to make the required statement with reasonable confidence they should ensure that:



The identification, assessment and mitigation of risk is linked to the achievement of the school's vision and strategic priorities, as set out in the School Development Plan;

- The process covers all areas of risk (e.g. governance and management, operational, financial, reputational, and external factors) and is focused primarily on major risks;
- The principal results of risk identification are reviewed, evaluated and managed; and
- The process of risk management is ongoing and embedded in management and operational procedure.

Senior Leadership Team

The Senior Leadership Team has responsibility for ensuring that the Risk Management policy is implemented and for co-ordinating risk management activity across the school. Their role is to provide assurance to the Governing Body that:

Risks have been identified and evaluated; Key risks are being managed appropriately; Control measures and other responses to risks are operating effectively; and Management is properly reporting the status of key risks and controls.

The Health and Safety Management team will provide an annual report on Risk Management with the Risk Register to the Governing Body.

PROCEDURE

Risk Identification

Risk identification should be an inclusive process, as it is the staff involved with the school's day to day operations who will best understand the risks faced, and their actions and communication will be key to the management of those risks.

The risk identification process should incorporate strategic and operational risks, and should address all risks related to governance and management, operational, financial, reputational, and external factors.

Risk Assessment

Each risk should be assessed and rated in terms of the probability of occurrence and potential impact on the school, taking into consideration the control measures already in place to manage the risk.



Risk Management and Risk Register

Once identified and assessed any risks requiring treatment should be recorded in a risk register.

The actions required to produce an acceptable control system to manage these risks will be identified and documented. Three types of action are available:

Reduce the probability and/or impact of the risk

Control measures may be introduced to make it less likely for the risk to become a reality, or to limit the extent of any detriment to the school. It is important that such measures are reasonable, taking account of affordability, value for money and impact on outcomes for children.

Transfer the risk

Insurance is a means of transferring those risks that the school cannot eliminate and does not wish to accept. However while it limits the level of exposure to risk it is not a substitute for active risk management. Insurance premiums can be minimised by effective risk management interventions to reduce risks.

Terminate the activity that gives rise to the risk

This would only be appropriate in extreme cases where, even with all possible controls in place, the risk of continuing the activity outweighs the benefits that would be achieved through it.

Clear responsibilities should be allocated to all proposed actions, along with a deadline for the action to be completed and a scheduled date for review.

The Risk Register is revised annually by the FPPC who will recommend it to the Governing Body.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

The Risk Register will be reviewed regularly by the senior leadership team and the governing body to ensure that risks are identified and appropriate controls and responses are in place and that:

New or escalating risks are identified and managed;

Planned actions are carried out in line with agreed timescales;

The Risk Register is updated as risks change over time; and

Early signs of developing risks are identified and appropriate action taken.

The FPPC will review this policy at least every year and assess its implementation and overall effectiveness.

Last review date: 1st July 2025



RISK MATRIX

Likelihood

5 М М н Н Н 4 M 3 М М М 2 М М М М М 1 3 5

Impact



1. RISK REGISTER

A. Strategic and Reputational Risks

Category	Sub-category	Specific	Likelihood of occurring (5=high, 1=low)	Impact if occurs (5=high, 1=low)	Response (green, amber or red)	Control procedures	Person(s) responsible for action
Strategic risk	School objectives risk	School is not operating within its objectives	1	5	amber	FPPC, LAB and BOD minutes	BOD
		School objectives become out of date / are no longer appropriate	1	5	amber	SLT and BOD	BOD
		School receives category Ofsted report	2	5	amber	Internal evidence trails, Annual Health checks, SEF, SDP and HT's targets	BOD
	School status risk	Risk that the school could lose its awards / marks	1	3	green	SLT and LAB/FPPC	BOD
	Stakeholders risk	Stakeholders don't consider that the	1	4	amber	Regular discussion item in FPPC, LAB and BOD to	HT and BOD



	school provides a valuable / high quality service				identify ways of improving further	
Macro- economic risk	Risk of the impact an uncontrollable event will have on the school, e.g. recession, war.	2	5	amber	Manage reserves to reduce risks	FPPC
Natural disaster risk	Risk of the impact uncontrollable event will have on the school, e.g. fire, flood.	2	4	amber	Business Continuity Plan is robust. Some risks transferred to insurers.	FPPC
Technology risk	Information security risk	2	5	amber	Procedures in ICT Policy, robust firewall through	HT Elementary
	Virus risk / corruption of data risk	2	5	amber	Elementary ICT.	ICT
	IT systems out of date / no longer supported	1	3	green	Planning for regular renewal	HT, DH
	Crash of servers and telephone (VOIP) systems set up	4	3	amber	Set up separately to avoid time delay and time loss	HT Elementary ICT
Delegation risk	Committees not established with	1	4	amber		BOD



Governance		delegation authority,				Standing committees	
organisation		e.g. finance,				updated annually at BOD	
risk		personnel, etc.				meeting	
		Committee terms of	1	4	amber		BOD
		reference not					
		sufficiently flexible					
		Governors delegate	2	4	amber	Regular review of scheme of	FPPC, LAB
		too little / too much to				internal delegation	and BOD
		the Head teacher					
		and/or SBM					
Reputational	Perceptions of	The school have no	2	5	amber	Regular discussion item in	HT and BOD
risk	control	direct control over				SLT and BOD to identify ways	
		stakeholders				of improving further	
	Quality of	Look for positive news	1	3	green		HT and BOD
	reputation	especially in the midst					
		of adversity					
	Stakeholders	Understand who the	2	3	amber		HT and BOD
		stakeholders are and					
		what impact they have					
		on the school					



A. OPERATIONAL RISKS

Category	Sub-category	Specific	Likelihood of occurring	Impact if occurs	Response (green,	Control procedures	Person(s) responsible
			(5=high, 1=low)	(5=high, 1=low)	amber or red)		for action
Management information risk	Quality risk	Risk that information produced for Governors	1	4	amber	NPW Governor Services assesses BOD meeting,	FPPC
		and Senior Leaders is not accurate or not in a format suitable for monitoring the performance of the school				Information is monitored using independent sources advice, including the Ofsted Data Dashboard. Action – full BOD Training when required	
	Timeliness risk	Risk that management information is not available quickly after the period to which it relates – non-statutory	1	2	green	Review by FPPC and BOD	BOD



		Risk that management information is not available quickly after the period to which it relates –statutory	1	2	green	Review by FPPC and BOD	BOD
	Review risk	Risk that management information is not subject to review	3	4	amber	Regularly reviewed by SLT and FPPC and LAB	BOD
		Risk that action is not taken after the review of management information	2	4	amber	Action points always identified in minutes and reviewed at next meeting	Chair of Directors
	Quantity risk	Risk that too little management information is produced to facilitate informed decision making	1	1	green	Kept under review by FPPC, LAB and BOD	FPPC and BOD
Human resources risk	Governing Body risk	Insufficient experience of the education sector	2	2	green	Governor training. Co- option of persons with expertise	BOD
		Governors without required expertise	2	2	green	Governor training	BOD
		Lack of availability / poor attendance at meeting	2	3	amber	Membership reviewed by BOD,	BOD



	Entrepreneurial risk – risk that Governing Body is too entrepreneurial. Risk that activities of the school could be outside its objectives. Governing Body become	2	2	green	Not a major risk at present	
	too involved with management of the school and are not independent of management					
	Governing Body do not act solely in the interest of the school but for their business / other interests, etc.	1	3	green	Pecuniary interest forms completed	BOD
	Passive Governors	4	1	amber	Governor training	BOD
Management risk	Senior management capability / experience of the school risk	1	4	amber	Provide training	НТ
	Integrity risk	1	4	amber	Not a practical risk at prese	nt
	Key person loss / succession risk	1	4	amber	Plan for all eventualities. Succession planning	HT and BOD



					embedded in school budgeting and SDP. Options for external help to be available when required.	
	Entrepreneurial risk – risk that management is too entrepreneurial. Risk that activities of the school could be outside its objectives	1	4	amber	Not a practical risk at prese	nt
Staff risk	Low morale risk	2	4	amber	Staff questionnaire,	HT
	Retention risk	2	4	amber	Monitored by HT	
	Recruitment risk (recruiting someone unsuitable to work with children)	2	5	amber	Safer Recruitment is carried out	Chair of Directors and HT
	Appraisal risk	1	5	amber	Appraisal Policy applied	HT
	Staff members with grievances not referred to - may lead to tribunals	1	3	green	Maintaining transparency and open-door policy.	HT and Chair of Directors
	Technical capability risk	1	3	green	Provide training	HT



	Volunteer risk	Low numbers of volunteers due to candidate suitability and KSIE requirements	1	2	green	New system of identification and processing introduced to	
						ensure that all	
						requirements are met	
		Training risk	3	2	amber	School Leadership	
		Risk that volunteers are not	2	4	amber	Safeguarding Policy	HT
		of appropriate quality /				applied rigorously	
		vetted / subject to police				Training is provided and	
		checks				careful monitoring by the	
						СТ	
	Health and Safety risk	Staff fatality - injury	2	2	green	Health and Safety Policy and Risk Assessments	HT
	Sarcty Hisk	Staff fatality - death	2	2	green	applied rigorously	
		Fatality / injury to pupil /	2	4	amber	applied ligorously	
		third party					
		Other third party risk	2	4	amber		
Financial non-	Financial Risk	Errors in procedure	2	4	amber	Internal Control	HT & SBM
compliance		resulting in fraud and				Evaluations to be tailored	
		financial loss					



	Reputational Risk	DfE losing confidence in TVI learning, affecting future schools and growth opportunities	1	5	amber	to consider the risks most relevant at the time	
Budget Overspend	Financial Risk	Deficit year-on year budgets result in reserves that are too low to support the Trusts cashflow	3	5	red	Careful budgeting to rebuild the reserves over a 3-year period or longer Reduction in support staff	HT & SBM
	Staff Risk	Insecurity in roles – loss of high-quality staff during times of financial hardship & uncertainty	2	4	amber	for the next two years to build on reserves. Carefully managed alongside staff training to ensure that all available staffing resources are fully utilised in line with best practise. Examination of all orders before purchasing with coding review	



Budget Monitoring and Reporting	Financial Risk	Inaccurate monthly accounts/monitoring reporting	2	5	amber	Finance staff to be aware of and use the correct codings when posting costs. Drilling down in overspend areas when reporting. Juniper provide monthly financial support. Executive Summary produced.	SBM HT FO
	Financial Risk	Directors unclear of what management accounts mean in real terms and so decision making is affected	1	2	green	Executive summaries are produced monthly and they clearly detail the financial position (Initially produced with external support)	SBM
Timeliness of financial reporting	Financial Risk	Delays in reporting monitoring reports resulting in slow reactions to required change resulting in overspend	1	1	green	Month end is generally completed with 3 weeks and monthly accounts to be produced and circulated to Head within 4 weeks	SBM



Accuracy in	Financial Risk	Ineffective use of POs and	2	3	amber	All pre-agreed non-	SBM & Office
Financial		inaccurate coding of				chargecard expenditure to	Manager
reporting		expenditure and entry of				be entered into the portal	
		pre-agreed expenditure,				generating 'commitments'	
		resulting in inaccurate				 codes to be agreed at 	
		monthly financial reporting				the beginning of the year	
		and forecasting				in line with the budget.	
Inaccuracy in	Financial Risk	Inaccuracies at year,	2	3	amber	Once the year end routine	SBM /Juniper
year-end		particularly in accruals of				is undertaken a sense	
procedures		income and expenditure				check is performed with	
		can cause financial stresses				comparison to the prior	
		and uncertainty.				year to help capture late	
						accruals and accrued	
						income.	
High level of	Financial Risk	Additional costs associated	3	5	red	Continue to source all	HT SENDCO
children with		with supporting children				funding opportunities with	
SEND/EHCP/SE		with SEND are not covered				the LA and apply for EHCs	
MH		by the LA resulting in				for all eligible children	
		further pressures on the				Regular discussions with	
		budget				LA leaders to ensure they	
						are fully aware of our	
						provision and offer	



	Quality Risk	Quality of Teaching & Learning throughout school is affected by the financial strains above	2	4	amber	Closing monitor data and progress ensuring whole staff team have a good understanding of the SEND offer within school	HT SLT
	Attainment Risk	Overall attainment is negatively impacted – caused by the financial risk	2	4	amber	SLIND OTTER WILLIIII SCHOOL	
Loss of Pupil numbers	Financial Risk	Low student numbers results in reduced income	2	4	amber	Continue to work closely with the local community and as such promoting the school with our third outstanding Ofsted. Continue to promote 30 hours nursery alongside the PT nursery offer	HT & SBM
						Use of banners and social media to ensure TVI remains at the heart of the community.	



Category	Sub-	Specific	Likelihood	Impact if	Response	Control procedures	Person(s)
	category		of occurring	occurs	(green,		responsible
			(5=high,	(5=high,	amber or		for action
			1=low)	1=low)	red)		
Employee	Employme	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Regular advice sought	HT
legislation risk	nt laws risk	requirements are not known or complied with				from LBBD	
		Equality risk	2	4	amber	Equality plan	HT
		Data Protection risk	2	5	amber	Apply Data Protection	HT
						Policy, planned actions	
						e.g. look at improving	
						data	
		Human Rights risk	2	4	amber	See Equality plan	HT
		Equal Opportunities risk	2	4	amber		
		Contract risk	2	4	amber	Supported by LBBD	HT
	Pension	Risk that legislative	1	4	amber	Supported by LBBD, Essex	HT
	risk	requirements are not known				financial services and	
		or complied with				Wilkins Kennedy	
	Health and	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Apply Health and Safety	BFPC
	Safety risk	requirements are not known				Policy supported by LBH	
		or complied with					



Environmental	UK	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Research and consider all	BFPC
legislation	legislation	requirements are not known				relevant legislation – with	
		or complied with				support from LBH	
	EU	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber		
	legislation	requirements are not known					
		or complied with					
Data Protection		Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Apply Data Protection	HT / SBM
Act risk		requirements are not known				Policy rigorously	
		or complied with					
Welfare	Disability	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Apply Equality plan	HT
compliance risk	risk	requirements are not known					
		or complied with					
	Child	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Apply Safeguarding Policy	HT, Child Protection
	Protection	requirements are not known				rigorously	/, Safeguarding Lead. Supported by
	risk	or complied with					LBBD
Sector compliance	Curriculum	Risk that legislative	1	3	green	Apply Teaching, Learning	SLT
risk	compliance	requirements are not known				Policy and subject specific	
		or complied with				policies	
	Mental	Risk that legislative	2	4	amber	Liaise closely with LBBD	HT
	health	requirements are not known				following relevant	
	compliance	or complied with				borough guidelines	
	risk						
	Grant	Grant terms and conditions	2	4	amber	Apply Finance Policy	FPPC
	criteria risk	are not complied with					



Local Authority	Licensing	Risk that legislative	1	3	green	Apply ICT Policy and	ICT provider
and Central	risk	requirements are not known				copyright procedures, etc.	
Government risk		or complied with					